

SWINE VESICULAR DISEASE

Aetiology Epidemiology Diagnosis Prevention and Control References

AETIOLOGY

Classification of the causative agent

Swine vesicular disease (SVD) is classified as a pig enterovirus, in the family Picornaviridae. All isolates are classified in a single serotype, with four distinguishable antigenic/genomic variants, which evolved sequentially in different time-periods without overlapping, except for the third and fourth variants that were co-circulating in Italy during 1992-1993. All SVD viruses occurring since then diverge from a common origin and cluster in a unique antigenic/genomic lineage corresponding to the fourth and most recent group; however, two genomic sub-lineages are distinguishable within it. Antigenically, swine vesicular disease virus (SVDV) is related to the human coxsackie virus B5.

Resistance to physical and chemical action

Temperature:	Preserved by refrigeration and freezing, inactivated by 56°C/1 hour
pH:	SVDV is stable in the pH range 2.5–12.0
Disinfectants/chemicals:	In the presence of organic matter, inactivated by sodium hydroxide (1% combined with detergent). Direct treatment of swine waste with 1.5% (w/v) NaOH or Ca(OH) ₂ for 30 minutes could inactivate SVDV at either 4°C (39°F) or 22°C (72°F). Mixture of didecydimethylammonium chloride and 0.1% NaOH for 30–60 minutes also demonstrates efficacy. For personal disinfection and in the absence of gross organic matter, disinfectants, such as oxidising agents, iodophores, acids etc., are suitable if combined with detergents.
Survival:	Resistant to fermentation and smoking processes. May remain in hams for 180 days, dried sausages for >1 year, and in processed intestinal casings for >2 years

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Movement of subclinically infected animals is the most common means of moving SVDV. Transport of large numbers of swine often results in small lesions and these provide a portal of entry for the SVDV. Introduction of susceptible swine into contaminated environments will also result in SVD outbreaks. Non-heat treated garbage fed to swine provides another means for infected meat to cause disease.

- Morbidity rate in herds may be low but high in groups of pigs (in pens)
- Does not cause death

Hosts

- Swine are the only natural host for SVDV

Transmission

- Virus infects swine via: lesions in skin and mucosa, ingestion and inhalation
- Direct contact among infected swine or with their excretions
 - very low titres of virus needed to infect animals across broken skin
 - faecal contamination is a major source of virus spread, often within contaminated vehicles or premises
- Meat scraps and 'swill' derived from infected pigs
 - SVDV not inactivated by normal pH change associated with *rigor mortis*

Sources of virus

- Affected pigs may excrete virus from the nose and mouth and in the faeces up to 48 hours before the onset of clinical signs
- Most virus is produced in the first 7 days after infection

- virus excretion from the nose and mouth normally stops within 2 weeks
- may continue to be shed for up to 3 months in the faeces
- All tissues contain virus during the viraemic period
- Ruptured vesicles (epithelium and fluid) are a high-titre source of virus; faeces are a lower-titre source of virus

Occurrence

The disease is reported occasionally from countries in Europe and is reported regularly from southern and sporadically from central Italy. The disease is likely present in various parts of eastern Asia.

For more recent, detailed information on the occurrence of this disease worldwide, see the OIE *World Animal Health Information Database (WAHID)* Interface [<http://www.oie.int/wahis/public.php?page=home>] or refer to the latest issues of the *World Animal Health* and the *OIE Bulletin*.

DIAGNOSIS

The incubation period for SVD is between 2 and 7 days. For the purposes of the *OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code*, the incubation period for the SVD is 28 days.

Clinical diagnosis

SVD can be a subclinical, mild or severe vesicular condition depending on the strain of virus involved, the age of pigs affected, the route and dose of infection, and the husbandry conditions under which the pigs are kept. The clinical signs of SVD may easily be confused with those of Foot and mouth disease (FMD) and any outbreaks of vesicular disease in pigs must be differentiated by laboratory confirmation. Recent outbreaks of SVD have been characterised by less severe or no clinical signs; infection has been detected when samples are tested for a serosurveillance programme or for export certification.

- The first sign of disease may be sudden appearance of lameness in several animals in a group in close contact and a transient fever of up to 41°C
 - off feed for a few days
- Vesicles then develop on the coronary band, typically at the junction with the heel, and interdigital spaces of the feet
 - may affect the whole coronary band resulting in loss of the hoof
- More rarely, vesicles may also appear on the snout, particularly on the dorsal surface, on the lips, tongue and teats, and shallow erosions may be seen on the knees
- On hard surfaces, animals may be observed to limp, stand with arched back, or refuse to move even in the presence of food
- Clinical signs are more severe in wet or unsanitary conditions and abrasive floors and conversely pigs kept on grass or housed on deep straw may demonstrate little or no clinical signs
- Nervous signs have been reported, but are unusual
- Young animals are usually more severely affected by SVD
- Abortion is not a typical feature of SVD
- Recovery occurs usually within 2–3 weeks; only evidence of infection being a dark, horizontal line on the hoof where growth has been temporarily interrupted
- Some strains produce only mild clinical signs or are subclinical
- Morbidity may reach 100% but usually no deaths are associated

Lesions

- Vesicle formation is the only known lesion directly attributable to the infection
 - these lesions are indistinguishable from FMD and other vesicular disease in pigs

Differential diagnosis

- Foot-and-mouth disease
- Vesicular stomatitis
- Vesicular exanthema of swine
- Chemical or thermal burns

Laboratory diagnosis

Samples

Samples of vesicular epithelium for virus detection must be handled and submitted as though they contained FMD virus and must be transported in 0.04 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) mixed with glycerol (1/1), pH 7.2–7.6, with antibiotics such as (final concentration per ml) penicillin (1000 International Units [IU]), neomycin sulphate (100 IU), polymyxin B sulphate (50 IU), and mycostatin.

- Preparation of samples
 - Lesion material: a suspension is prepared by grinding the sample in sterile sand in a sterile pestle and mortar with a small volume of PBS or tissue culture medium and antibiotics. Further medium should be added to obtain approximately a 10% suspension. This is clarified by centrifugation at 2,000 g for 20–30 minutes in a high speed centrifuge and the supernatant is harvested.
 - Faecal samples: faecal material (approximately 20 g) is resuspended in a minimal amount of tissue culture medium or phosphate buffer (0.04 M phosphate buffer or PBS). The suspension is homogenised by vortexing and clarified by centrifugation at 2,000 g for 20–30 minutes in a high speed centrifuge; the supernatant is harvested and filtered through 0.45 µm filter.

Procedures

Identification of the agent

- Virus isolation
 - clarified epithelial or faecal suspension is inoculated on monolayers of susceptible porcine cells and these are examined daily for a cytopathic effect (CPE)
 - CPE positive supernatant fluid is harvested and virus identification is performed by ELISA (or other appropriate test, e.g. RT-PCR)
 - negative cultures are blind-passaged after 48 or 72 hours, and observed for a further 2–3 days; if no CPE is evident after the second passage, the sample is recorded as “no virus detected”.
 - as amount of virus may be low in faeces, isolation may require a third tissue culture passage
- Immunological methods
 - Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay: detection of SVD viral antigen by an indirect sandwich ELISA has replaced the complement fixation test as the method of choice
 - rabbit antiserum to SVD virus is used as the capture serum
 - test sample suspensions are added and incubated; appropriate controls are included
 - guinea-pig detection anti-SVD serum is added followed by rabbit anti-guinea-pig serum conjugated to horseradish peroxidase
 - positive reaction is indicated if there is a colour reaction on the addition of chromogen (for example orthophenylenediamine) and substrate (H₂O₂)
 - Alternatively ELISA with monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) can be used as the capture antibody, or peroxidase conjugated as detector antibody
- Nucleic acid recognition methods
 - reverse transcription followed by the PCR (RT-PCR) is a useful method to detect SVD viral genome in a variety of samples from clinical and subclinical cases
 - several methods have been described

Serological tests

SVD is often diagnosed solely on the evidence of serological tests as a result of routine serology for disease surveillance or export certification. Because SVD may be mild or subclinical, it is essential when submitting samples from suspect clinical cases that serum samples from both the suspect pigs and other apparently unaffected animals in the group be included.

- Virus neutralisation (the prescribed test for international trade)
 - quantitative microtest for antibody to SVD virus is performed using IB-RS-2 cells (or suitable susceptible porcine cells) in flat-bottomed tissue-culture grade microtitre plates

- positive cut-off titres depend on the cell system used; laboratories should establish their own criteria by reference to standard reagents available from the OIE Reference Laboratory
- Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (competitive ELISA developed by Brocchi *et al.*)
 - the inactivated SVD virus is trapped to the solid phase using the monoclonal antibody (MAb) 5B7
 - serum samples and the peroxidase-conjugated MAb 5B7 are incubated simultaneously; positive sera inhibit the binding of the conjugated MAb
 - after development of the reaction by addition of substrate and chromogen, results are expressed as the percentage inhibition by each test serum of the standard calibrated reaction

For more detailed information regarding laboratory diagnostic methodologies, please refer to Chapter 2.8.9 Swine vesicular disease in the latest edition of the OIE *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals* under the heading “Diagnostic Techniques”.

PREVENTION AND CONTROL

Sanitary prophylaxis

- On-going vesicular disease surveillance program with tracing and humane slaughter of all test positives and contacts
- Elimination of infected and contact pigs
- Control of vehicles used for transporting pigs
- Thorough disinfection of premises, transport vehicles, and equipment
- Strict import requirements, movement controls and quarantines for animals and animal products
- Either prohibition of garbage feeding or enforcement of thorough cooking of garbage used to feed animals
- Prohibition of feeding with ship or aircraft garbage through collection and destruction at ports of entry

Medical prophylaxis

- No treatment
- There are currently no commercial vaccines available against SVD

For more detailed information regarding safe international trade in terrestrial animals and their products, please refer to the latest edition of the OIE *Terrestrial Animal Health Code*.

REFERENCES AND OTHER INFORMATION

- Brown C. & Torres A., Eds. (2008). - USAHA Foreign Animal Diseases, Seventh Edition. Committee of Foreign and Emerging Diseases of the US Animal Health Association. Boca Publications Group, Inc.
- Coetzer J.A.W. & Tustin R.C., Eds. (2004). - Infectious Diseases of Livestock, 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press.
- Fauquet C., Fauquet M. & Mayo M.A. (2005). - Virus Taxonomy: VIII Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Academic Press.
- Kahn C.M., Ed. (2005). - Merck Veterinary Manual. Merck & Co. Inc. and Merial Ltd.
- Spickler A.R. & Roth J.A. Iowa State University, College of Veterinary Medicine - <http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/factsheets.htm>
- World Organisation for Animal Health (2012). - Terrestrial Animal Health Code. OIE, Paris.
- World Organisation for Animal Health (2012). - Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. OIE, Paris.

*
* *

The OIE will periodically update the OIE Technical Disease Cards. Please send relevant new references and proposed modifications to the OIE Scientific and Technical Department (scientific.dept@oie.int). Last updated April 2013.