




Food and Agriculture  
Organization of the  
United Nations



WORLD ORGANISATION FOR ANIMAL HEALTH  
*Protecting animals, preserving our future*

# LOGICAL FRAMEWORK



## Global control of African swine fever

A GF-TADs initiative

2020-2025

# Objective 1. Improve capability of countries to control (prevent, respond, eradicate) ASF using best practices based on latest science

| Outcomes  | Outcome indicators  | Sources of outcome verification   | Intermediate outcomes   | Outputs  | Output indicators  | Sources of output verification      | Assumptions  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Outcome 1<br><br>Capability of countries to control ASF is improved | Self-declarations of ASF freedom<br><br>National control programme update, surveillance strategy, simulation exercises, reference lab recognition, etc. | Survey of SGE member countries<br><br>Reports from GF-TADs meetings and expert missions, recognition, etc.<br><br>ASF trainings and capacity building projects, and other OIE/FAO events<br><br>Reports from Observatory project<br><br>Feedback from PVS Pathway activities<br><br>Official notification through WAHIS recognition, etc. | Intermediate Outcome 1.1<br><br>Improved understanding by countries of strengths and weaknesses of national Veterinary Services to control  | Output 1.1<br><br>Systematic evaluation of capacity of Veterinary Services, in particular to control ASF | Indicator 1.1a<br><br>ASF-related evaluation missions (PVS, GF-TADs, EU-Vet teams, FAO SET etc.) conducted (no. conducted annually) and analysed | Mission reports                     | Identifying gaps provides basis for improving VS capacity to control ASF |
|   |   |   | Intermediate Outcome 1.2<br><br>Improved capacity to conduct risk assessment  | Output 1.2<br><br>Provision of technical guidelines, tools and training on ASF risk                      | Indicator 1.1b<br><br>Development of specific-content PVS-ASF tool and related activities (expert meetings, evaluation tool pilot studies, etc.) | PVS-ASF tool<br><br>Meeting reports |  |
|   |   |   | Indicator 1.2.a<br><br>Guidelines developed (no.), and meetings and training activities conducted (no. held, no. participants) to support Member Countries to: conduct risk assessment; implement studies on relevant subjects including socio-economy, mapping and modelling of susceptible population, pig value chain and wild pigs to improve knowledge; assess risks; and identify stakeholders and their roles in risk management | Developed guidelines and tools<br><br>Meeting and training reports                                       | Technical support and up to date intelligence improve situational awareness of VS to apply more effective measures                               |                                     |  |
|   |   |   | Indicator 1.2.b<br><br>Intelligence framework to ensure close coordination between learnings from and needs of field operations and the research networks addressing key gaps in understanding; forums for identification of needs and information exchange (no. held, no. participants)  | Meeting reports  |  |                                     |  |

| Outcomes                     | Outcome indicators | Sources of outcome verification | Intermediate outcomes   | Outputs   | Output indicators   | Sources of output verification   | Assumptions   |   |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| Outcome 1<br><br>(continued) | (continued)        | (continued)                     | Intermediate Outcome 1.3<br><br>Improved capacity to conduct risk management    | Output 1.3<br><br>Provision of technical guidelines, tools and training on risk management  | Indicator 1.3.a<br><br>ASF control guidelines developed (3D activities, biosecurity, restocking, surveillance, early detection, simulation exercises etc.)  | Developed guidelines and tools<br><br>Consultation reports (no. consultations)   | Technical support improves risk management including early detection, diagnosis, surveillance, and control measures |   |
|                              |                    |                                 |   |   | Indicator 1.3.b<br><br>Capability building activities on ASF control (e.g. surveillance, diagnosis, 3Ds, epidemiological investigations), including workshops/ trainings (no. activities, no. participants) and ASF reference laboratories activities (e.g. no. ring tests, expert consultations, research, twinning) | Meeting and training reports<br><br>Annual Reference lab reports   |   |   |
|                              |                    |                                 | Intermediate Outcome 1.4<br><br>Improved capacity to conduct risk communication | Output 1.4<br><br>Provision tools, training and schemes that target diverse audiences, including policy makers, based on a clear understanding of the behaviors that must change in order to effect control | Indicator 1.4.<br><br>Communication tools (no. developed, no. campaigned)   | Developed risk communication tools<br><br>Press releases<br><br>News bulletins<br><br>Webpage for OIE/FAO, GF-TADs, etc. |   | Improved risk communications strengthen cooperation of stakeholders and improve control |
|                              |                    |                                 |   |   | Indicator 1.4.b<br><br>Risk communication meetings and training (no. held, no. attendees/ trained)  | Evidence from surveys on the level of understanding gained from training, meetings                                       |   |   |

## Objective 2. Establish effective coordination and cooperation framework for the global control of ASF


| Outcomes  | Outcome indicators  | Sources of outcome verification | Intermediate outcomes   | Outputs   | Output indicators   | Sources of output verification  | Assumptions   |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Outcome 2<br><br>Regional and global coordination and cooperation is improved | Bilateral/ regional agreements and projects on collaboration, cooperation on ASF control related activities | Survey of SGE member countries  | Intermediate Outcome 2.1<br><br>Disease information is submitted in a transparent and timely manner, is accurate, up to date and readily accessible | Output 2.1<br><br>Mechanisms or tools to facilitate immediate notification, collection and dissemination of disease information | Indicator 2.1.a<br><br>Improved real-time disease reporting at national level   | Early warning tools (e.g. EMA-i/ Event Mobile application)                    | Transparent, accurate, up to date and readily accessible information increases international trust and provides basis for timely implementation of necessary measures |
|   |   |                                 |   |   | Indicator 2.1.b<br><br>Timely notification to OIE by Members assessed through analysis of notification trends and notifiable disease status of ASF (no. reports, trends, timeframe from suspicion to official notification) | WAHIS reports<br><br>Reports from FAO Decentralized offices and other sources |   |
|   |   |                                 |   |   | Indicator 2.1.c<br><br>Improved quality and access of disease information through OIE-WAHIS, EMPRES-i   | OIE WAHIS project reports and other sources                                   |   |

| Outcomes                                   | Outcome indicators | Sources of outcome verification | Intermediate outcomes  | Outputs   | Output indicators  | Sources of output verification   | Assumptions   |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Outcome 2</b></p> <p>(continued)</p> | <p>(continued)</p> | <p>(continued)</p>              | <p><b>Intermediate Outcome 2.2</b></p> <p>Effective regional/global expert networks strengthen inter-sectoral coordination and cooperation</p> | <p><b>Output 2.2</b></p> <p>Expert networking facilitated through GF-TADs and relevant frameworks</p> | <p><b>Indicator 2.2.a</b></p> <p>Global and Regional Standing Group of Experts (Africa, Americas, Asia and Europe) established and active (no. meetings, level of participation, meeting reports including recommendations, progress reports by countries)</p> <hr/> <p><b>Indicator 2.2.b</b></p> <p>Validation and support of the workplan and activities for the Global Control of ASF: validated workplan and regional ASF operational plans, GF-TADs activities including meetings and various support and guidance activities (no. meetings, no. participants), support staff</p> <hr/> <p><b>Indicator 2.2.c</b></p> <p>Promotion of establishment of cooperation frameworks between public and private sectors as a critical success factor for effective ASF control. Case studies of public private partnership approaches for ASF control that are identified and publicised through forums and other venues (no. meetings, no. participants)</p> | <p>Meeting reports</p> <p>SGE country missions</p> <hr/> <p>Meeting reports</p> <hr/> <p>Case study reports</p> <p>Meeting reports</p> | <p>GF-TADs framework, in particular SGE meetings, will provide countries a means to share critical information and measures relevant to their regions, and to apply changes at national and regional levels</p> |

| Outcomes                                   | Outcome indicators | Sources of outcome verification | Intermediate outcomes   | Outputs   | Output indicators  | Sources of output verification            | Assumptions  |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Outcome 2</b></p> <p>(continued)</p> | <p>(continued)</p> | <p>(continued)</p>              | <p><b>Intermediate outcome 2.3</b></p> <p>Development agencies and technical partners recognise the values of ASF control and actively participate in relevant activities, with appropriate technical and financial support</p> | <p><b>Output 2.3</b></p> <p>Project proposals developed and implemented considering synergies among different initiatives</p> | <p><b>Indicator 2.3.a</b></p> <p>Active project funding for ASF control (no. projects), funding and grants received by the OIE/FAO, member countries for ASF related activities</p>                                | <p>Project proposals, funding reports</p> | <p>Critical financial funding allows countries needing support to apply necessary measures for regional control</p>            |
|  |                    |                                 | <p><b>Intermediate outcome 2.4</b></p> <p>ASF global research is active and coordinated to address scientific needs</p>   | <p><b>Output 2.4</b></p> <p>Support activities to promote global research networks</p>  | <p><b>Indicator 2.4.a</b></p> <p>Networks and forums that are active for interactions and exchange of information within the research community (no. institutions, no. themes, no. meetings, no. participants)</p> | <p>Meeting reports</p>                    | <p>Identifying key Scientific needs and coordination will accelerate research that provide additional tools to control ASF</p> |

## Objective 3. Facilitate business continuity

| Outcomes   | Outcome indicators   | Sources of outcome verification  | Intermediate outcomes   | Outputs   | Output indicators   | Sources of output verification  | Assumptions  |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| <p><b>Outcome 3</b></p> <p>National, regional, and international trade of pigs and their products, based on international standards and guidelines, is facilitated</p> | <p>National, regional, and international trade continued or resumed based on international standards (commodity-based trade, recognition of zoning, or compartmentalisation)</p> | <p>Survey of SGE member countries</p> <p>Published self-declaration of ASF free zones or ASF free compartments</p> <p>Private sectors reports/data</p> <p>Results of the OIE Observatory</p> | <p><b>Intermediate outcome 3.1</b></p> <p>International standards for safe trade are well-understood, accepted and promoted</p> | <p><b>Output 3.1</b></p> <p>OIE standards for safe trade developed and revised based on latest scientific information, and are appropriately promoted</p> | <p><b>Indicator 3.1.a</b></p> <p>Revision of OIE Terrestrial Code and manual, and technical disease information based on latest science to facilitate safe trade (no. revisions) and activities of OIE Specialist Commissions (TAHSC, SCAD and BSC)</p> | <p>Commission reports</p>   | <p>Internationally agreed standards based on up to date science provide basis for safe international trade</p> |
|  |  |  | <p><b>Indicator 3.1.b</b></p> <p>Activities to promote OIE standards (no. meetings)</p>   | <p>Meeting reports</p>  |   |   |  |
|  |  |  | <p><b>Intermediate outcome 3.2</b></p> <p>International standards are implemented effectively</p>                               | <p><b>Output 3.2</b></p> <p>Technical guidelines for the effective implementation of international standards for safe trade</p>                           | <p><b>Indicator 3.2.a</b></p> <p>Guidelines for the implementation of standards</p>   | <p>Developed guidelines</p> <p>Consultation reports (no. consultations)</p> | <p>Guidelines provide effective tools to facilitate continued safe trade</p>                                   |
|  |  |  |   |   | <p><b>Indicator 3.2.b</b></p> <p>Meetings for information exchange and workshops (no. held, no. attendees)</p>  | <p>Meeting reports</p>  |  |



# GF-TADs

GLOBAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE  
PROGRESSIVE CONTROL OF  
TRANSBOUNDARY ANIMAL DISEASES



Food and Agriculture  
Organization of the  
United Nations

**Oie**  
WORLD ORGANISATION  
FOR ANIMAL HEALTH