CHAPTER 3.2.6.

INFESTATION OF HONEY BEES WITH *TROPILAELAPS* SPP.

SUMMARY

Description and importance of the disease. The mites in the genus Tropilaelaps are parasites of honey bee brood. Feeding on bee larvae and pupae causes brood malformation, death of bees and subsequent colony decline or absconding. Development requires about 1 week, and the mites are dispersed on bees. There are at least four species in the genus Tropilaelaps. Each species tends to be associated with a particular giant honey bee in Asia. Two species (T. clareae and T. mercedesae) are damaging pests of Apis mellifera. The other two species (T. koenigerum and T. thaii) appear to be harmless to A. mellifera.

Identification of the agent: Molecular and morphological methods are available for identifying each species. An infestation by Tropilaelaps can be recognised either visually on bees or by examining hive debris. Irregular brood pattern, dead or malformed immatures, bees with malformed wings that crawl at the hive's entrance, and especially the presence of fast-running, red-brown, elongated mites on the combs, are diagnostic for the presence of T. clareae and/or T. mercedesae. An early diagnosis can be made after opening brood cells and finding immature and adult mites therein. The hive (colony) may be treated with various chemicals that cause the mites to drop off combs and bees. Sticky boards on the bottom of the colony can be used to examine hive debris and mites. Alternatively the "bump test" can be used for rapid screening. Definitive diagnosis at the laboratory is based on morphological examination under a microscope. Confirmatory testing can be done by conventional polymerase chain reaction and sequencing.

Serological tests: Serological tests are not applicable.

Requirements for vaccines: No vaccines are available.

A. INTRODUCTION

Tropilaelaps spp. mites belong to the class Arachnida, subclass Acari, superorder Parasitiformes, order Mesostigmata and family Laelapidae (Anderson & Roberts, 2013). They should not be confused with the mite Varroa destructor, a parasite that is well-established in Europe. Tropilaelaps clareae occurs in Asia where it is a parasite of the native honey bee Apis dorsata breviligula. It is also a parasite of the introduced honey bee species A. mellifera in the Philippines and the native honey bee species A. dorsata binghami on Sulawesi Island in Indonesia. Tropilaelaps mercedesae, which was formerly mistaken for T. clareae, together with T. koenigerum, are parasites of the native A. dorsata dorsata in mainland Asia and Indonesia (except Sulawesi Island). Tropilaelaps mercedesae is also a parasite of the introduced A. mellifera in these and surrounding regions and, with another species, T. thaii, also parasitises A. laboriosa in mountainous Himalayan regions (Anderson & Morgan, 2007).

1. Life cycle

The colonising *Tropilaelaps* female (or females; as many as a dozen may occur within a single cell) lays from one to four eggs on a mature bee larva shortly before the brood cell is capped. The drone brood is preferred by *Tropilaelaps* and may be almost 100% parasitised (Burgett *et al.*, 1983). The mite progeny, usually one male and several females feed on and seriously damage the bee brood. Development of the mite requires about 1 week. The adults, including the foundress female, emerge with the adult bee and search for new hosts (de Guzman *et al.*, 2017).

The short life-cycle, as well as a very brief stay on adult bees, explains why populations of *T. clareae* increase faster than those of *Varroa* mites. When both *T. clareae* and *Varroa* destructor infest the same colony, the former may outcompete the *Varroa* mite (Burgett *et al.*, 1983; Ritter & Schneider-Ritter, 1988). It has been reported that when both mite species are in the same cell, the reproduction of both mites declines (Rath *et al.*, 1995).

Phoretic survival on bees is quite short (only 1–2 days) because *Tropilaelaps* cannot pierce the integument of adult bees. The phoretic time for *Tropilaelaps* spp. is important in understanding the life cycle, and recent research suggests the period can be as long as 5–10 days (Wilde, 2000a; 2000b). Gravid female mites will die within 2 days unless they deposit their eggs (Woyke, 1987).

Like Varroa, Tropilaelaps can act as a potential vector for honey bee viruses, such as deformed wing virus (DWV) (Forsgren *et al.*, 2009). DWV has been reported to replicate in *T. mercedesae*, suggesting that the mite may act as a biological vector of DWV (Dainat *et al.*, 2009). The impact of the mite–virus complex is not fully understood. Some data indicate that the major impact of *Tropilaelaps* infestation could be caused by the mite itself, reducing bee host immune responses (Khongphinitbunjong *et al.*, 2015).

Infestation by *Tropilaelaps* causes the death of many bee larvae (up to 50%), resulting in an irregular brood pattern and of which the cadavers that may partially protrude from the cells. Many malformed bees occur, with distorted abdomens, stubby wings and deformed or missing legs, probably resulting from DWV associated infection. Some of the affected bees crawl at the hive's entrance (Atwal & Goyal, 1971). In addition, perforated cappings are seen, the result of sanitation activities by the worker bees, which evict the infested bee pupae or young adults. Some infested colonies abscond, carrying the mites to a new location.

The behavioral responses of honey bees to *T. mercedesae* depend on the *Apis* species. *A. cerana* and *A. dorsata* (the natural host of *T. mercedesae*) showed a higher behavioral resistance than *A. mellifera* (Khongphinitbunjong et *al.*, 2012). In *A. mellifera*, *T. mercedesae* infestation significantly reduced honey bee lifespan and emergence weight; it also promoted DWV levels and associated clinical signs (Khongphinitbunjong et *al.*, 2016) and could cause severe damage for colonies.

B. DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES

	Purpose					
Method	Population freedom from infestation	Individual animal freedom from infestation prior to movement	Contribute to eradication policies	Confirmation of clinical cases	Prevalence of infestation – surveillance	Immune status in individual animals or populations post-vaccination
Identification of the agent						
Morphology	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	-
Conventional PCR	++	++	++	++	+	-

Table 1. Test methods available for the diagnosis of infestation of honey bees with Tropilaelaps spp. and their purpose

Key: +++ = recommended for this purpose; ++ recommended but has limitations;

+ = suitable in very limited circumstances; - = not appropriate for this purpose. PCR = polymerase chain reaction

1. Field detection of the mite

The first sign of an infestation by *Tropilaelaps* species is often the occurrence of red-brown, elongated mites on the combs or on adult bees (Figs. 2 and 3).

The body length depends on the species and varies between the male and the female. *Tropilaelaps koenigerum* is the smallest member of the genus with a body length of < 0.7 mm for females and ~0.575 mm for males. Female *T. mercedesae*, *T. clareae* and *T. thaii* are much longer at ~0.95–0.99 mm, ~0.87–0.885 mm and ~0.89 mm respectively, while the body lengths of male *T. mercedesae* and *T. clareae* are slightly smaller than their respective

females at 0.907–0.927 mm and 0.852–0.858 mm, respectively. Males of *T. thaii* have yet to be discovered (Anderson & Roberts, 2013).

Tropilaelaps can easily be separated from the Varroa mite using a $\times 10$ magnifying glass. The body of the Varroa mite is wider than it is long and it moves slowly, whereas the body of Tropilaelaps is elongated (Fig. 1), and it is a fast-moving mite.

Tropilaelaps should also not be confused with other honey bee ectoparasites such as *Braula* flies, or other *Laelapidae* mites living in debris of honey bee hives such as *Mellitiphis alvearius* (Cook & Bowman, 1983) (Fig. 4) or the Ameroseiidae mite *Neocypholaelaps apicola* (Delfinado-Baker & Baker, 1983; Kontschán et al., 2015).



Fig. 1. Varroa destructor *and* Tropilaelaps *spp.* (*dorsal view*). *Photo supplied by APHA Bee Unit, York. UK Crown Copyright.*



Fig. 2. Tropilaelaps on Apis dorsata larvae. Photo by D. Anderson.



Fig. 3. Tropilaelaps offspring on Apis mellifera pupae. Photo by W. Ritter.



Fig. 4. Braula coeca (*above*), Varroa destructor (*right*), Tropilaelaps *spp.* (*below centre*) and Melittiphis alvearius (*left*) (*dorsal view*). *Photo supplied by APHA Bee Unit, York. UK Crown Copyright.*

1.1. Mite collection

Methods to collect mites include an ether or sugar roll (Ritter & Schneider-Ritter, 1988). Collect approximately 100–200 bees in a wide-mouthed jar with lid. Scrape the bees into the jar or use a modified vacuum to suck them in. Knock the bees to the bottom of the jar with a sharp blow; there should be about a 2.5–5 cm layer of bees on the bottom. Remove the lid and spray a 2-second burst with ether starter fluid. Alternatively, use enough 70% alcohol or soapy water to cover the bees; or add around 25 g powdered sugar (or flour). If using ether replace the lid and agitate or roll the jar for about 10 seconds; mites should stick to walls. If using soap or alcohol, agitate and then strain out the bees with a coarse hardware cloth or mesh strainer; mites will be in the liquid. If using sugar or other powder, put screening material (such as hardware cloth) on top of the jar and shake the mites on to white paper to count; repeat every 2 minutes. For a more accurate count, finish with an alcohol or soapy water wash to collect all the mites.

1.2. Colony and brood examination

When monitoring honey bee colonies for the presence of *Tropilaelaps* (or *Varroa*), an examination of both drone and worker brood may provide an early indication of infestation. Mites can be observed inside capped bee brood by using a honey scratcher (with fork-like tines) to pull up capped pupae. The mites are clearly visible. The younger mite stages are whitish and may be almost motionless while feeding on their hosts' bodies, as their mouthparts and front legs are fixed to the cuticle of the bee host (Ritter & Schneider-Ritter, 1988). The extent of parasitisation can be estimated by opening a predetermined number of brood cells; infestation rates are then calculated as per cent of capped brood containing live mites (Burgett & Kitprasert, 1990).

1.3. Bump test

Another rapid and simple technique is the "bump test". The method consists of firmly rapping a honey bee brood frame over a collecting pan. First, all adult bees are removed from one comb containing capped brood by shaking the frame over the colony. Once adult bees are cleared away, frames are firmly bumped over a white metal pan by hitting one end of the frame on the side of the pan, turning the frame, re-bumping the frame, and repeating the process once more for a total of four bumps. This process dislodges mites on the surface of the comb, which then can be counted (Pettis *et al.*, 2013).

1.4. Sticky board examination

A precise diagnosis can be made using a sticky board covered with a mesh, such as fly screen, that prevents the bees from removing the dislodged mites. The mesh must be large enough for mites to pass through. Make a sticky board with poster board, cardboard or other white, stiff paper coated with petroleum jelly or other sticky substance (Koeniger *et al.*, 2002; Ostiguy & Sammataro, 2000; Sammataro *et al.*, 2000), or use a sheet of sticky shelf paper. Cut the paper to fit the bottom board of a hive. Cut a piece of hardware cloth or screen to fit on top of the sticky board. To keep the bees from cleaning off the board, fold under the outside edges of the screen to raise it off the board, and staple or tape in place. Leave the board in the colony for up to 3 days, collecting and examining the debris for mites. Acaricides are sometimes used to knock mites off bees and will appear on the sticky boards.

2. Laboratory identification of the mite

Rapid and reliable diagnosis is crucial to enable implementation of sanitary measures and to avoid spread in noninfested territories. In case of field suspicion, specimens suspected to belong to the genus *Tropilaelaps* should be sent to official laboratories to confirm the diagnosis. Morphological identification should be carried out for primary diagnosis. This method is fast and cheap, not requiring sophisticated equipment. Confirmatory testing may be done using polymerase chain reaction for molecular identification of *Tropilaelaps* species.

2.1. Special precautions required for sampling

The specimens to be identified are collected in honey bee hives, for example, in colonies, in batches of bees, on queen bees) or on bumble bees.

Suspect specimens should be killed before submission to the laboratory e.g. in 70% ethanol. Denatured ethanol should not be used where molecular methods are to be used because of possible inhibition of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Alternatively, samples can be stored overnight at -20° C to kill the specimens.

On arrival at the laboratory, packages should be opened in containment conditions. If the specimens are found to be alive on arrival, the submission should be placed at -80° C for approximately one hour before opening fully. This procedure immobilises the specimens, which can subsequently be stored in 70% ethanol.

2.2. Morphological identification of *Tropilaelaps* spp.

The method is based on the visual examination of adult mites only, taking into account the morphological characteristics of the adult *Tropilaelaps* mite compared with those of other mite genera commonly found in bee colonies (particularly *V. destructor*). The visual examination described is not sufficient to differentiate amongst the four species of *Tropilaelaps* as they are morphologically very similar (Anderson & Morgan, 2007; Tangjingjai et al., 2003).

2.2.1. Equipment and reagents

Classical entomological equipment and materials are required:

- Stereomicroscope
- Compound microscope (1000×)
- Hot plate
- Dishes: glass Petri dishes, porcelain ceramic dishes, watch glass or similar
- Micro-dissecting needle holders equipped with minutien pins and with pins made of fishing line (with the extremity crushed in order to obtain a spoon-like shape)
- Fine-tipped tweezers
- Glass microscope slides (classic and concave) and cover slips
- Hermetically sealed vials
- Lactic acid
- Mounting medium (e.g. Hoyer's medium) and clear nail polish for the long-term conservation of microscopic slides
- Ethanol 70% (avoid denatured ethanol).

2.2.2. Test procedure

All the specimens are placed in a dish and checked for homogeneity using a stereomicroscope. If the specimens are not homogeneous, then each type present is examined separately. Samples for examination should be selected from undamaged mites. Samples are taken using fine-tipped tweezers or needle holders and placed in a dish for further study.

Under the stereomicroscope, the mites are checked for the three primary identification criteria of *Tropilaelaps* spp. (see Table 2 below). If none of the three criteria are met, then further microscopic examination is not pursued.

For microscopic examination, the soft tissues must be cleared to reveal the morphological characteristics. Deposit a few drops of lactic acid on a microscope slide (using concave slides for larger specimens). Place the selected specimens on the slide in lactic acid with the needle holders

(fishing line equipped) (or with extra-fine tweezers). Using two holders (minutien pin equipped), position the specimens so as to have a ventral view. Place a cover glass over the microscope slide without crushing the mite, avoiding the formation of air bubbles. If possible, carefully press on the cover glass with a tweezer in order to spread open the legs, which are usually curled up beneath the body. Place the slide on a heating plate at approximately 50°C) and wait for the lactic acid to have effect (approximately 30 minutes). NB: the liquid should not boil on the slide as this would destroy the specimen.

Examine the slide(s) under the compound microscope at 100×, 200×, and then 400× magnification in order to observe fully the various diagnostic criteria as detailed in Table 2. Comparative observations should be carried out with reference slides if available. The depth of field viewed may need to vary according to the thickness of the mite's body.

Specimens can be stored at room temperature in a hermetically sealed vial with 70% ethanol. Slides may be kept long term by mounting the mites in Hoyer's medium, allowing to dry for 2 weeks at 50°C, then sealing the cover slip with clear nail varnish. For further information on storage and mounting of mites see Dietemann *et al.* (2013).

2.2.3. Identification criteria for adult Tropilaelaps spp.

Tropilaelaps spp. are visible to the naked eye. It is approximately between 0.6 mm and 1.0 mm long and between 0.4 to 0.5 mm wide. *Tropilaelaps* is smaller than *V. destructor* (Figs. 1 and 4). If all the morphological characteristics of the adult mite are confirmed (criteria 1 to 9 in Table 2), the result is "positive" confirmation of the identification of *Tropilaelaps* genus. If one or more of the fundamental morphological characteristics (criteria 1 to 9) of *Tropilaelaps* spp. are not present the result is "negative" and the identification of *Tropilaelaps* genus is not confirmed. If the presence or absence of all nine criteria cannot be determined (e.g. due to a damaged sample), the result is "inconclusive" and molecular methods should be used for confirmation.

Table 2. Criteria for recognising Tropilaelaps spp.

(Anderson & Roberts 2013; Delfinado & Baker 1961; Smiley 1991; University of Michigan 2014).

The following features should be examined:

- a) The stigmata are tracheal openings;
- b) The coxa is the first leg segment and connects the leg and the body;
- c) The peritremes are tubular structures running on from stigmata. They could have a role in respiration;
- d) The tritosternum is a bristle-like Y-shaped sensory organ located caudally to the gnathosoma (the gnathosoma is the body part of *Acari* that includes the mouthparts and oral aperture);
- e) Reticulated means that it has broken eggshell or fish scale pattern.

		Stereomicroscope	Compound microscope
1.	Tropilaelaps has four pairs of legs. The first pair is vertically aligned, resembling antennae (Fig. 5). \rightarrow Class Arachnida	х	
2.	The body is unsegmented, with a single visible region, due to the fusion of the prosoma (the equivalent of the cephalothorax) and the opisthosoma (or abdomen) into a single mass (Fig. 5). \rightarrow Subclass Acari	Х	
3.	The body is longer than wide (as opposed to <i>V. destructor</i>) (Figs. 1 and 4). The ratio of length to width is greater than 1.3.	х	
4.	It has a pair of latero-ventral stigmata between coxa III and IV (Figs. 7). $ ightarrow$ Order Parasitiforms		400×
5.	Presence of elongated peritremes (Fig.7). Presence of a tritosternum (Fig.7) (optional criterion, difficult to observe). \rightarrow Suborder Mesostigmata		200×
6.	Elongated epigynial plate, posteriorly rounded or sharp. Triangular-shaped ventrianal plate (Figs. 5 and 6). \rightarrow Laelapidae		100×

		Stereomicroscope	Compound microscope
7.	Elongated epigynial plate, at least twice as long as the ventrianal plate (Figs. 5 and 6).		100× or 200×
8.	Reticulated sternal plate (Fig. 7).		400×
9.	Opisthosoma with coarse bristles, thick at the base, on the apical half of the ventral side (Figs. 5 and 6).		200×
Note: Criteria for distinguishing between males and females: the mobile digit of the male's chelicerae is filiform (spermodactyls) (Fig. 8). The epigynial plate is shorter in the male than in the female (Fig. 8). (Anderson & Morgan, 2007)			200×



Fig. 5. Tropilaelaps clareae, *female* (*ventral view*). *Photo by S. Franco, Anses, Sophia Antipolis laboratory.*



Magnification 100×



Magnification 400×

Fig. 6. Tropilaelaps sp. (ventral view). Opisthosoma, coarse apical bristles, thick at their base. Photos by S. Franco, Anses, Sophia Antipolis laboratory.



T. clareae – Magnification 200×

Fig. 7. Tropilaelaps clareae, anatomy. Source of the figures: Delfinado & Baker, 1961. Photos by S. Franco, Anses, Sophia Antipolis laboratory.



Fig. 8. Tropilaelaps clareae, male and female (ventral view). Photos by S. Franco, Anses, Sophia Antipolis laboratory.

2.3. Molecular identification

The morphological identification of *Tropilaelaps* spp. is complicated because of their resemblance to other mites that may be found in hives. PCR methods are increasingly used to confirm the suspicion of infestation. The conventional PCR method described below is based on the amplification of a partial sequence of the mitochondrial gene of *Tropilaelaps* spp. which encodes cytochrome oxidase I (COI) (Anderson & Morgan, 2007). The primers COI-TCF1 and COI-TCR2 amplify a fragment of 580 base pairs. The size of PCR products is determined by agarose gel electrophoresis in comparison with a DNA ladder (molecular weight marker). The primers are not specific to *Tropilaelaps* spp. and amplification of the COI gene from other parasites can occur, so it is necessary to sequence the DNA when a PCR product of the expected size is found.

2.3.1. Sample preparation, equipment and reagents

The samples tested are typically about 10 adult mites, kept in > 95% non-denatured alcohol or kept dry. If stored in alcohol they should first be rinsed three times in a large volume of phosphate buffer (50 ml/tube) or simply dry for several minutes on tissue paper before the DNA extraction step. The mites are then transferred to a 1.5 ml microtube. This step is important to avoid inhibition. The mites are ground in 200 μ l phosphate buffer using a disposable pellet pestle in the 1.5 ml microtube. Ground samples can be stored frozen at $\leq -16^{\circ}$ C.

A conventional PCR detection and analysis system is required. Any suitable methods or kits can be applied for the extraction of DNA, amplification in a thermocycler, followed by electrophoresis on agarose gel. All equipment and materials should be validated for use in the individual laboratory. The usual measures are required to avoid DNA contamination, and procedures should follow the standards set out in Chapter 2.1.2 *Biotechnology advances in the diagnosis of infectious diseases*. Positive and negative controls must be used for all stages.

2.3.2. PCR procedure

The primers developed by Anderson & Morgan (2007) are as follows:

Name	Sequence
COI-TCF1	5'-CTATCCTCAATTATTGAAATAGGAAC-3'
COI-TCR2	5'-TAGCGGCTGTGAAATAGGCTCG-3'

The PCR reaction mixture should follow the manufacturer's instructions for use and storage. Working stock solutions for the primers are prepared with nuclease-free low ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) TE buffer at the concentration of 20 μ M. The stock solutions are stored at –20°C.

The PCR reaction mixtures are prepared in a separate laboratory room. All reagents, except the DNA samples, are mixed prior to distribution in each reaction tube. In each PCR test, appropriate controls must be included, including at least a template control (NTC, reagents only), negative controls (i.e. 1 per 10 samples tested) and a positive control (plasmid DNA solution including the sequence to be amplified, added to diluted 10 times the detection limit of the PCR [LD_{PCR}]). The amplifications are carried out in a total volume of 20 μ l.

PCR reagent mixtures are added in a clean room (no pathogens or amplification products should be handled). The conditions above have been defined in the PCR validation steps. Other conditions could be applied after an optimisation.

	Final concentration	Volume for one tube (μ l)
Nuclease free H ₂ O	/	12.6
Taq DNA pol (5 U/μl)	0.5 U/µl	0.2
Taq DNA pol buffer (10×)	1×	2.0
MgCl ₂ (50 mM)	3.5 mM	1.3
dNTP mix (10 mM)	450 μΜ	0.9
COI-TCF1 (20 µM)	500 nM	0.5
COI-TCR2 (20 µM)	500 nM	0.5
Mix total volume		18

Add 2 μ l of the DNA template (unknown sample or plasmid DNA) or negative control to the reagent mixture to a final volume of 20 μ l. DNA samples are prepared and added to the PCR mix in a separate area.

Step	Cycle	Temperature (°C)	Time (minutes)
Initial denaturation	1	95	5:00
PCR	35	94	0:30
		58	0:30
		72	0:45
Final extension	1	72	7
Hold		10	∞

An example thermocycler programme is as follows:

Optimisation of PCR should be carried out according to the Mastermix and PCR machine used, especially testing with different annealing temperatures.

Detection of amplified products:

- Prepare a 1.2% agarose gel in 1× TAE (Tris-acetate-EDTA) with the appropriate number of wells.
- ii) $2 \mu l$ of 6× loading buffer are added to 10 μl of PCR products.
- iii) Load 10 μ l of the samples into the wells.
- iv) To control the size of the amplified products, a 100 bp ladder is recommended.

- v) Run the gel.
- vi) Analyse by UV illumination after staining with a suitable DNA stain.

The interpretation of the results is based on the presence or absence of the amplified product: the size of the expected PCR product is 580 bp including the two primers. However, the presence of a PCR product of the right size is not sufficient to identify the *Tropilaelaps* genus and species. A sequencing step is required.

2.3.3. Sequencing of PCR products

If a 580 bp band is detected the PCR product must be sequenced. The method is not described here, and can be outsourced. A panel of COI sequences available on Genbank (EF025423 to EF025468 and HQ533148 to HQ533159 [Luo *et al.*, 2011]) is included in the analysis to construct the phylogenetic three and to identify the species of *Tropilaelaps*. An outgroup COI sequence from *Varroa* (EF025469, 253947435) is included.

3. Serological tests

Serological tests are not appropriate or relevant to bee colony infestations.

C. REQUIREMENTS FOR VACCINES

There are no vaccines available.

REFERENCES

ANDERSON D.L. & MORGAN M.J. (2007). Genetic and morphological variation of bee-parasitic *Tropilaelaps* mites (Acari: Laelapidae): new and re-defined species. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, **43**, 1–24.

ANDERSON D.L. & ROBERTS J.M.K. (2013). Standard methods for *Tropilaelaps* mites research. *In:* The COLOSS BEEBOOK, Volume II: Standard methods for *Apis mellifera* pest and pathogen research, Dietemann V., Ellis J.D., Neumann P., eds. *J. Apicultural Res.*, **52**, http://dx.doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.4.21.

ATWAL A.S. & GOYAL N.P. (1971). Infestations of honeybee colonies with *Tropilaelaps*, and its control. J. Apic. Res., **10**, 137–142.

BURGETT D.M. & KITPRASERT C. (1990). Evaluation of Apistan[™] as a control for *Tropilaelaps clareae* (Acari: Laelapidae), an Asian honey bee brood mite parasite. *Am. Bee J.*, **130**, 51–53.

BURGETT M., AKRATANAKUL P. & MORSE R.A. (1983). *Tropilaelaps clareae*: a parasite of honeybees in south-east Asia. Bee World, **64**, 25–28.

COOK V.A. & BOWMAN C.E. (1983). *Mellitiphis alvearius*, a little-known mite of the honeybee colony, found on New Zealand bees imported into England. *Bee World*, **64**, 62–64.

DAINAT B., KEN T., BERTHOUD H. & NEUMANN P. (2009). The ectoparasitic mite *Tropilaelaps mercedesae* (Acari, *Laelapidae*) as a vector of honeybee viruses. *Insectes Sociaux*, **56**, 40–43.

DE GUZMAN L.I., WILLIAMS G.R., KHONGPHINITBUNJONG K. & CHANTAWANNAKU P. (2017). Ecology, life history, and management of *Tropilaelaps* mites. J. Econ. Entomol., **110**, 319–332.

DELFINADO M.D. & BAKER E.W., (1961). *Tropilaelaps*, a new genus of mite from the Philippines (*Laelapidae, Acarina*). *Fieldiana Zoology*, **44**, 53–56.

DELFINADO-BAKER M. & BAKER E., (1983). A new species of Neocypholaelaps (*Acari: Ameroseiidae*) from brood combs of the Indian honey bee. *Apidologie*, **14**, 1–7.

DIETEMANN V., NAZZI F., MARTIN S.J., ANDERSON D.L., LOCKE B., DELAPLANE K.S., WAUQUIEZ Q., TANNAHILL C., FREY E., ZIEGELMANN B., ROSENKRANZ P. & ELLIS J.D. (2013). Standard methods for varroa research. *In:* The COLOSS BEEBOOK, Volume II: Standard methods for *Apis mellifera* pest and pathogen research, Dietemann V., Ellis J.D., Neumann P., eds. *J. Apicultural Res.*, **52**, http://dx.doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.1.09.

FORSGREN E., DE MIRANDA J. R., ISAKSSON M., WEI S., & FRIES I. (2009). Deformed wing virus associated with *Tropilaelaps* mercedesae infesting European honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, **47**, 87–97.

KHONGPHINITBUNJONG K., DE GUZMAN L.I., BURGETT M.D., RINDERER T.E. & CHANTAWANNAKUL P. (2012). Behavioral responses underpinning resistance and susceptibility of honeybees to *Tropilaelaps mercedesae*. *Apidologie*, **43**, 590–599.

KHONGPHINITBUNJONG K., DE GUZMAN, L. I., TARVER M. R., RINDERER T. E. & CHANTAWANNAKUL P. (2015). Interactions of *Tropilaelaps mercedesae*, honey bee viruses and immune response in *Apis mellifera*. J. Apic. Res., **54**, 40–47.

KHONGPHINITBUNJONG K., NEUMANN P., CHANTAWANNAKUL P. & WILLIAMS G.R. (2016). The ectoparasitic mite *Tropilaelaps mercedesae* reduces western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*, longevity and emergence weight, and promotes Deformed wing virus infections. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, **137**, 38–42.

KOENIGER G., KOENIGER N., ANDERSON D.L., LEKPRAYOON C. & TINGEK S. (2002). Mites from debris and sealed brood cells of *Apis dorsata* colonies in Sabah, (Borneo) Malaysia, including a new haplotype of *Varroa jacobsoni*. *Apidologie*, **33**, 15–24.

KONTSCHÁN J., TÓBIÁS I., BOZSIK, G. & SZOCS G. (2015). First record of Neocypholaelaps apicola from beehives in hungary (ACARI: Mesostigmata: Ameroseiidae): Re-description and DNA barcoding. Acta Zool. Acad. Sci. Hung., **61**, 237–245.

LUO Q., ZHOU T., WANG Q., DAI P., WU Y. & SONG H. (2011). Identification of *Tropilaelaps* mites (Acari, Laelapidae) infesting Apis mellifera in China. Apidologie, **42**, 485–498.

OSTIGUY N. & SAMMATARO D. (2000). A simplified technique for counting Varroa sticky boards. Apidologie, **31**, 707–716.

PETTIS J.S., ROSE R., LICHTENBERG E.M., CHANTAWANNAKUL P., BUAWANGPONG N., SOMANA W. & VANENGELSDORP D. (2013). A rapid survey technique for tropilaelaps mite (*Mesostigmata: Laelapidae*) detection. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **106**, 1535–1544.

RATH W., BOECKING O. & DRESCHER W. (1995). The phenomena of simultaneous infestation of Apis melifera in Asia with the parasitic mites Varroa jacobsoni OUD, and Tropilaelaps clareae Delfinado and Barker. Am. Bee J., **135**, 125–127.

RITTER W. & SCHNEIDER-RITTER U. (1988). Differences in biology and means of controlling *Varroa jacobsoni* and *Tropilaelaps clareae*, two novel parasitic mites of *Apis mellifera*. *In*: Africanized Honey Bees and Bee Mites, Needham G.R., Page R.E. Jr., Delfinado-Baker M. & Bowman C.E., eds. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 387–395.

SAMMATARO D., GERSON U. & NEEDHAM G.R. (2000). Parasitic mites of honey bees: life history, implication s and impact. Ann. Rev. Entomol., **45**, 519–548.

SMILEY R.L. (1991). Insect and Mite Pests in Food, an Illustrated Key, Gorham J.R., ed. Food and Drug Administration, United States Department of Agriculture, p. 6.

TANGJINGJAI W., VERAKALASA P., SITTIPRANEED S., KLINBUNGA S. & LEKPRAYOON C. (2003). Genetic differences between *Tropilaelaps clareae* and *Tropilaelaps koenigerum* in Thailand based on ITS and RAPD analyses. *Apidologie*, **34**, 513–523.

WILDE J. (2000a). How long can *Tropilaelaps clareae* survive on adult honeybee workers? *In:* Proceedings of the Euroconference on Molecular Mechanisms of Disease Tolerance in Honeybees (MOMEDITO), held in Kralupy near Prague, Czech Republic, 17–19 October 2000. Bee Research Institute, Dol, Czech Republic, 217–221.

WILDE J. (2000b). Varroa destructor and Tropilaelaps clareae in Apis melifera colonies in Nepal. In: Proceedings of the Euroconference on Molecular Mechanisms of Disease Tolerance in Honeybees (MOMEDITO), held in Kralupy near Prague, Czech Republic, 17–19 October 2000. Bee Research Institute, Dol, Czech Republic, 223–238.

WOYKE J. (1987). Length of stay of the parasitic mite *Tropilaelaps clareae* outside sealed honeybee brood cells as a basis for its effective control. J. Apic. Res., **26**, 104–109.

* *

NB: There is a WOAH Reference Laboratory for infestation of honey bees with *Tropilaelaps* spp. (please consult the WOAH Web site:

https://www.woah.org/en/what-we-offer/expertise-network/reference-laboratories/#ui-id-3).

Please contact the WOAH Reference Laboratory for any further information on diagnostic tests and reagents for infestation of honey bees with *Tropilaelaps* spp.

NB: FIRST ADOPTED IN 2004. MOST RECENT UPDATES ADOPTED IN 2018.