CHAPTER 3.5.4.

EPIZOOTIC LYMPHANGITIS

SUMMARY

Description and importance of the disease: Epizootic lymphangitis is a contagious, chronic disease of horses, mules and donkeys. The disease is characterised clinically by spreading, suppurative, ulcerating pyogranulomatous dermatitis and lymphangitis. This is seen most commonly in the extremities, chest wall and the neck, but it can also be present as ulcerating conjunctivitis of the palpebral conjunctiva, or rarely as a multifocal pneumonia. The organism may also invade open lesions including ruptured strangles abscesses and castration wounds. It has also been called pseudofarcy or pseudoglanders. Another synonym is equine histoplasmosis, which may be a more accurate name for the disease, as not all clinical cases present obvious lymphangitis. The form that the disease takes seems to depend primarily on the route of entry (Singh, 1965a). The traumatised skin may be infected directly by

Identification of the agent: Identification of the agent is made by its appearance in smears of the exudate or in histological sections of the lesion material. The yeast form of the organism is present in large numbers in well established lesions, and appears as pleomorphic ovoid to globose structures, approximately 2–5 μm in diameter, located both extracellularly and intracellularly in macrophages and giant cells. Organisms are usually surrounded by a ‘halo’ when stained with Gram stain, haematoxylin and eosin, Giemsa, periodic acid–Schiff reaction or Gomori methenamine–silver stain. The mycelial form of the organism grows slowly under aerobic conditions at 25–30°C on a variety of media, including mycobiotic agar, enriched Sabouraud’s dextrose agar, brain–heart infusion agar, and pleuropneumonia-like organism nutrient agar, however culture is challenging. Conversion to the yeast phase at 37°C must be demonstrated for confirmation of diagnosis.

Serological and other tests: Antibodies to H. capsulatum var. farciminosum develop at or before the onset of clinical signs. Assays reported for detection of antibody include fluorescent antibody, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, and passive haemagglutination tests. In addition, a skin hypersensitivity test has been described.

Requirements for vaccines and diagnostic biologicals: Killed and live vaccines have been trialled on a limited scale in endemic areas, but only within research settings and are not commercially available. Skin hypersensitivity tests can be used to detect cell-mediated immunity.

A. INTRODUCTION

1. Description and impact of the disease

Epizootic lymphangitis is a contagious, chronic disease of horses, mules and donkeys. The disease is characterised clinically by a suppurative, ulcerating, and spreading pyogranulomatous, multifocal dermatitis and lymphangitis. It is seen most commonly in the extremities, chest wall and the neck, but it can also be present as an ulcerating conjunctivitis of the palpebral conjunctiva, or rarely as a multifocal pneumonia. The organism may also invade open lesions including ruptured strangles abscesses and castration wounds. It has also been called pseudofarcy or pseudoglanders. Another synonym is equine histoplasmosis, which may be a more accurate name for the disease, as not all clinical cases present obvious lymphangitis. The form that the disease takes seems to depend primarily on the route of entry (Singh, 1965a). The traumatised skin may be infected directly by
infected pus, nasal or ocular secretions or indirectly by soil or contaminated harnesses, grooming equipment, feeding and watering utensils, wound dressings or flies. It is also believed that ticks may play a role in the transmission of this agent (Ameni & Terefe, 2004). The conjunctival form of the disease is believed to be spread by flies of the *Musca* or *Stomoxys* genera (Singh, 1965a). The pulmonary form of the disease is less frequently observed than the cutaneous form, and is reported to occur after inhalation of the organism (Singh, 1965b). The incubation period is from around 3 weeks to 2 months (Ameni, 2006). In all cases, the lesions are nodular and granulomatous in character, and the organism, once established, spreads locally by invasion and then via the lymphatics. There is often thickening, or ‘cording’, of lymphatics, with the formation of pyogranulomatous nodules and infection can extend to regional lymph nodes, which become enlarged and inflamed. On occasion, and probably depending on host susceptibility and severity of infection, lesions may heal spontaneously after 2–3 months, resulting in stellate scar formation. Extensive lesions with high mortality rates can occur in areas where there is limited access to veterinary therapeutics and nutrition (Ameni, 2006).

2. **Nature and classification of the pathogen**

The causative agent, *Histoplasma capsulatum* var. *farciminosum*, is a thermally dimorphic fungus. The mycelial form is present in soil; the yeast form is usually found in lesions. *Histoplasma farciminosum* was formerly described as an independent species, but this assessment has been changed and it is now considered to be a variety of *H. capsulatum* due to the close morphological similarities of both the mycelial and yeast forms (Ueda et al., 2003). Antigenically, *H. capsulatum* var. *farciminosum* and *H. capsulatum* var. *capsulatum* are indistinguishable, however the latter is the cause of disseminated histoplasmosis, is endemic in North America and has a wide host range (Robinson & Maxie, 1993). An antigen detection test is commercially available for detection of *Histoplasma capsulatum* var. *capsulatum* from equine clinical samples, although its performance in detecting *Histoplasma capsulatum* var. *farciminosum* is unknown. DNA sequences of four protein-coding genes have been analysed to elucidate the evolutionary relationships of *H. capsulatum* varieties. This indicated that *H. capsulatum* var. *farciminosum* is deeply buried in the branch of SAm Hcc group A, (H60 to -64, -67, -71, -74 and -76) suggesting it may originate from an isolate of South American *H. capsulatum* var. *capsulatum* (Kasuga et al., 1999, Murata et al., 2007). These molecular findings suggest that HCF and HCC are more closely related than previously thought, and future advances in whole genome sequencing may further develop understanding of the taxonomy, of various *Histoplasma* species that could have relevance for identifying epidemic strains or virulence factors. More recently, it was demonstrated that nested polymerase chain reaction can be used to detect the presence of HCF directly from equine clinical samples (Scantlebury et al., 2016).

3. **Differential diagnosis**

The cutaneous form of the disease may be confused with farcy (the skin form of glanders), which is caused by *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*, indolent ulcers caused by *Rhodococcus equi*, sporotrichosis caused by *Sporothrix schenckii*, and histoplasmosis caused by *H. capsulatum* var. *capsulatum*, cryptococcosis, strangles, sarcoids and cutaneous lymphosarcomas (Jungerman & Schwartzman, 1972; Lehmann et al, 1996); therefore it is important to confirm the causative agent.

4. **Epidemiology**

The disease is currently endemic in regions of Sub-Saharan Africa, and historically cases were reported in North Africa, some parts of Asia, India, Pakistan, Japan, and some countries bordering the Mediterranean sea (Refai & Loot, 1970). Lack of diagnostic testing facilities, surveillance and reporting from endemic regions means that the disease’s prevalence is unknown and thus co-ordinated efforts are required to identify the current location of disease to inform disease control strategies.

The disease is common in Ethiopia, especially in cart horses, affecting an average of 18.8% of horses in warm, humid areas between 1500 and 2300 metres above sea level (Ameni, 2006; Ameni & Terefe, 2004, Endebu & Roger, 2003). Reports from other parts of the world are sporadic and all cases must be verified by laboratory testing. The prevalence of the disease increases with assembling of animals; outbreaks have occurred in the past when large numbers of horses were stabled together for cavalry and other transportation needs e.g. during the Boer war (Pallin, 1904). Usually, it is horses, mules, and donkeys that are affected by the disease, although infection may occur in camels (Chandel & Kher, 1994; Purohit et al., 1985), cattle, wildlife species, and dogs (Murata et al. 2007; Ueda et al, 2003). Experimentally, laboratory animal species such as mice, guinea-pigs and rabbits are also susceptible to infection (Herve et al., 1994; Singh, 1965a).

5. **Zoonotic risk and biosafety requirements**

Infection in humans has been reported sporadically, but its zoonotic potential is not fully established (Al-Ani et al, 1998; Chandelier et al, 1980; Guerin et al, 1992; Murata et al. 2007). All laboratory procedures should be conducted with appropriate biosafety and containment procedures as determined by biological risk analysis (see
Chapter 1.1.4 Biosafety and biosecurity: Standard for managing biological risk in the veterinary laboratory and animal facilities.

B. DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES

Table 1. Test methods available for the diagnosis of epizootic lymphangitis and their purpose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Population freedom from infection</th>
<th>Individual animal freedom from infection prior to movement</th>
<th>Contribute to eradication policies</th>
<th>Confirmation of clinical cases</th>
<th>Prevalence of infection – surveillance</th>
<th>Immune status in individual animals or populations post-vaccination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct microscopy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nested PCR</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of immune response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAT</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect ELISA</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive HA test</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper-sensitivity skin test</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: +++ = recommended method, validated for the purpose shown; ++ = suitable method but may need further validation; + = may be used in some situations, but cost, reliability, or other factors severely limits its application; – = not appropriate for this purpose; n/a = purpose not applicable.

PCR = polymerase chain reaction; FAT = fluorescent antibody test, ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HA = haemagglutination.

1. Identification of the agent

Material should be aspirated aseptically from unruptured nodules using a needle and syringe. For microbiological isolation, the material should be placed in a liquid nutrient medium with antibacterials and kept refrigerated until culturing, which should be attempted as soon as possible. For direct examination, swabs of lesion material can be smeared on glass slides and fixed immediately. For histopathology, sections of lesion material, including both viable and nonviable tissue, should be placed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Confirmation of the disease is dependent on the demonstration of *H. capsulatum* var. *farcinomum*.

1.1. Direct microscopic examination

1.1.1. Stained impression smears

Impression smears of purulent material can be stained directly with Gram’s, Giemsa or Periodic Acid–Schiff reagent and examined for the typical yeast form of the organism, which will appear as Gram-positive, pleomorphic, ovoid to globose structures, approximately 2–5 µm in diameter (Al-Ani et al., 1998). They may occur singly or in groups, and may be found either extracellularly or within macrophages. The intracellular cytoplasm may be variably stained depending upon the age of the lesion or sample handling, and a refractile halo around the organisms (unstained capsule) is frequently observed.
1.1.2. Histopathology
In haematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained histological sections, the appearance of the lesion is quite characteristic and consists of pyogranulomatous inflammation with fibroplasia. Langhans giant cells are common. The presence of numerous organisms, both extracellularly and intracellularly within macrophages or multinucleated giant cells in tissue sections stained with H&E, Periodic acid–Schiff reaction and Gomori methenamine–silver stain are observed (Robinson & Maxie, 1993). There is some indication that the number of organisms increases with chronicity. The organisms are pleomorphic, often described as slightly lemon-shaped basophilic masses, varying from 2 to 5 µm in diameter, that are surrounded by a ‘halo’ when stained with H&E or Gram’s stain (Al-Ani, 1999).

1.1.3. Electron microscopy
Electron microscopy has been applied to skin biopsy samples of 1.5–2.0 mm immediately prefixed in phosphate buffered 2% glutaraldehyde solution at 4°C and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide. Ultra-thin sections were cut and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Examination demonstrated the fine internal structure of the organism, *H. capsulatum* var. *farciminosum*, including the cell envelope, plasma membrane, cell wall, capsule and inner cell structures (Al-Ani, 1999).

1.2. Culture
The mycelial form of *H. capsulatum* var. *farciminosum* is challenging to culture and grows slowly on laboratory media (2–8 weeks at 26°C). Media that can be used include Mycobiotic agar (Al-Ani et al., 1998), Sabouraud’s dextrose agar enriched with 2.5% glycerol, brain–heart infusion agar supplemented with 10% horse blood, and pleuropneumonia-like organism (PPLO) nutrient agar enriched with 2% dextrose and 2.5% glycerol, pH 7.8 (Guerin et al., 1992; Robinson & Maxie, 1993). The addition of antibiotics to the media is recommended: cycloheximide (0.5 g/litre) and chloramphenicol (0.5 g/litre). Broad-spectrum antibacterial activity is obtained if gentamicin (50 mg/litre) and penicillin G (6 × 10^6 units/litre) are used instead of chloramphenicol. Colonies appear in 2–8 weeks as dry, grey-white, granular, wrinkled mycelia. The colonies become brown with aging. Aerial forms occur, but are rare. The mycelial form produces a variety of conidia, including chlamydospores, arthroconidia and some blastoconidia. However, the large round double-walled macroconidia that are often observed in *H. capsulatum* var. *capsulatum* are lacking.

As a confirmatory test the yeast form of *H. capsulatum* var. *farciminosum* can be induced by subculturing some of the mycelium into brain–heart infusion agar containing 5% horse blood or by using Pine’s medium alone at 35–37°C in 5% CO₂. Yeast colonies are flat, raised, wrinkled, white to greyish brown, and pasty in consistency (Robinson & Maxie, 1993). However, complete conversion to the yeast phase may only be achieved after four to five repeated serial transfers on to fresh media every 8 days.

2. Serological tests
There are published reports of various tests to detect antibodies as well as a skin hypersensitivity test for detection of cell-mediated immunity. Antibodies usually develop at or just after the onset of clinical signs.

2.1. Fluorescent antibody tests

2.1.1. Indirect fluorescent antibody test
The following non-quantitative procedure is as described by Fawi (1969).

i) Slides containing the organisms are made by smearing the lesion contents on to a glass slide or by emulsifying the cultured yeast phase of the organism in a saline solution and creating a thin film on a glass slide.

ii) The slides are heat-fixed by passing the slide through a flame.

iii) The slides are then washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 1 minute.

iv) Undiluted test sera are placed on the slides, which are then incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C.

v) The slides are washed in PBS three times for 10 minutes each.
vi) Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-horse antibody at an appropriate dilution is flooded over the slides, which are then incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C.

vii) Washing in PBS is repeated three times for 10 minutes each.

viii) The slides are examined using fluorescence microscopy.

2.1.2. Direct fluorescent antibody test

The following procedure is as described by Gabal et al (1983).

i) The globulin fraction of the test serum is precipitated using 35% saturated ammonium sulphate, and then re-suspended to its original serum volume in saline and purified using gel filtration. The serum is then conjugated to FITC.

ii) Small colony particles of the cultured mycelial form of the organism are suspended in 1–2 drops of saline on a glass slide. With a second slide, the colony particles are crushed and the solution is dragged across the slide to create a thin film. Smears are also made directly from pus from unruptured nodules.

iii) The smears are heat-fixed.

iv) The slides are incubated with dilutions of conjugated serum for 60 minutes at 37°C.

v) The slides are washed in PBS three times for 5 minutes each.

vi) The slides are examined using fluorescence microscopy.

2.2. Indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The following procedure is as described by Gabal & Mohammed (1985).

2.2.1. Test procedure

i) The mycelial form of the organism is produced on Sabouraud’s dextrose agar in tubes, and incubated for 4 weeks at 26°C. Three colonies are ground in 50 ml of sterile PBS. The suspension is diluted 1/100 and the 96-well microtitre plates are coated with 100 µl/well.

ii) The plates are incubated at 4°C overnight.

iii) The plates are washed with PBS containing Tween 20 (0.5 ml/litre) (PBS-T) three times for 3 minutes each.

iv) The plates are incubated with 5% bovine serum albumin, 100 µl/well, at 23–25°C for 30 minutes, with shaking.

v) The plates are washed with PBS-T three times for 3 minutes each.

vi) The sera are serially diluted using twofold dilution in duplicate in PBS-T, starting with a 1/50 dilution and incubated for 30 minutes at 23–25°C.

vii) The plates are washed with PBS-T three times for 3 minutes each.

viii) Peroxidase-labelled goat anti-horse IgG is diluted 1/800 and used at 100 µl/well, with incubation for 30 minutes at 23–25°C, with shaking.

ix) The plates are washed with PBS-T three times for 3 minutes each.

x) Finally, 100 µl/well of hydrogen peroxide and ABTS (2,2’-Azino-di-[3-ethyl-benzthiazoline]-6-sulphonic acid) in a citric acid buffer, pH 4, is added.

xi) The plates are read at 60 minutes in a spectrophotometer at wavelength 405 nm.

xii) The absorbance values are obtained twice from each serum dilution and the standard deviation and average percentage of the absorbance values of the different serum samples are considered in the interpretation of the results.

2.3. Passive haemagglutination test

The following procedure is as described by Gabal & Khalifa (1983).
2.3.1. Test procedure

i) The organism is propagated for 8 weeks on Sabouraud’s dextrose agar. Five colonies are scraped, ground, suspended in 200 ml of saline, and sonicated for 20 minutes. The remaining mycelial elements are filtered out, and the filtrate is diluted 1/160.

ii) Normal sheep red blood cells (RBCs) are washed, treated with tannic acid, washed, and re-suspended as a 1% cell suspension.

iii) Different dilutions of the antigen preparation are mixed with the tanned RBCs and incubated in a water bath at 37°C for 1 hour. The RBCs are collected by centrifugation, washed three times in buffered saline and re-suspended to make a 1% cell suspension.

iv) Test sera are inactivated by heating at 56°C for 30 minutes and then absorbed with an equal volume of washed RBCs.

v) Dilutions of serum (0.5 ml) are placed in test tubes with 0.05 ml of antigen-coated tanned RBCs.

vi) Agglutination is recorded at 2 and 12 hours.

vii) Agglutination is detected when the RBCs form a uniform mat on the bottom of the tube. A negative test is indicated by the formation of a ‘button’ of RBCs at the bottom of the tube.

2.4. Skin hypersensitivity tests

i) Skin test antigen is prepared by one of two methods as described in Section C.

ii) Animals are inoculated intradermally in the neck with 0.1 ml skin test antigen containing 0.2 mg/ml protein.

iii) The inoculation site is examined for the presence of a local indurated and elevated area at 24, 48 and 72 hours post-injection. An increase in skin thickness of > 4 mm is considered to be positive.

C. REQUIREMENTS FOR VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTIC BIOLOGICALS

1. Vaccines

Depending on regulations in the region affected by disease, control of the disease is usually through elimination of the infection, although this may be limited by lack of resources and access to anti-fungals in regions where the disease is currently endemic. International guidelines recommend that control is achieved by culling infected horses and application of strict hygiene practices to prevent spread of the organism, however this may not be readily implemented in all regions for socio-economic reasons and lack of available compensation for loss of the animal. There are published reports on the use of killed (Al-Ani et al, 1998; Noskoav, 1960) and live attenuated vaccines (Zhang et al, 1986) in areas where epizootic lymphangitis is, or was previously endemic, apparently with relatively good results, however these were from experimental studies and currently no vaccine is commercially available.

2. Skin test antigens

Skin test antigens for intradermal inoculation are prepared by one of two published methods:

2.1. Method 1 (Armeni et al., 2006; Gabal & Khalifa, 1983)

A pure culture of *H. farciminosum* is propagated for 8 weeks on Sabouraud’s dextrose agar containing 2.5% glycerol. Five colonies are scraped, ground, suspended in 200 ml of saline, undergo five freeze–thaw cycles and are sonicated at an amplitude of 40° for 20 minutes. The remaining mycelial elements are removed by centrifugation at 1006 g at 4°C for 11 minutes. Sterility of the preparation is verified by incubating an aliquot on Sabouraud’s dextrose agar at 26°C for 4 weeks.

2.2. Method 2 (‘histofarcin’; Armeni et al., 2006; Soliman et al., 1985)

i) The mycelial form of the organism is grown on polystyrene discs floating on 250 ml of PPLO media containing 2% glucose and 2.5% glycerine at 23–25°C for 4 months.

ii) The fungus-free culture filtrate is mixed with acetone (2/1) and held at 4°C for 48 hours.
iii) The supernatant is decanted and the acetone is allowed to evaporate.
iv) Precipitate is suspended to 1/10 original volume in distilled water.
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